arXiv:math/0410479v1 [math.FA] 21 Oct 2004

Dynamical systems method (DSM) for nonlinear equations in Banach spaces

A.G. Ramm
Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
ramm@math.ksu.edu
http://www.math.ksu.edu/~ramm

Abstract

Let $F : X \to X$ be a C^2_{loc} map in a Banach space X, and A be its Frèchet derivative at the element $w := w_\varepsilon$, which solves the problem $(*)$ $\dot{w} = -A_\varepsilon^{-1}(F(w) + \varepsilon w)$, $w(0) = w_0$, where $A_\varepsilon := A + \varepsilon I$. Assume that $\|A_\varepsilon^{-1}\| \leq c\varepsilon^{-k}$, $0 < k \leq 1$, $0 < \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$. Then $(*)$ has a unique global solution, $w(t)$, there exists $w(\infty)$, and $(**)$ $F(w(\infty)) + \varepsilon w(\infty) = 0$. Thus the DSM (Dynamical Systems Method) is justified for equation $(**)$. The limit of w_ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$ is studied.

1 Introduction

In [1] the DSM (Dynamical Systems Method) was developed for solving operator equations

$$F(u) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

in a Hilbert space. In this note we generalize the DSM for Banach spaces and a more general class of nonlinear operators.

Let X be a Banach space, *not necessarily reflexive*, and $F : X \to X$ be a C^2_{loc} map. This means that F is twice Frèchlet differentiable and

$$\sup_{u \in B(u_0,R)} \|F^{(j)}(u)\| \leq M_j(R), \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{1.2}$$

where $B(u_0, R) := \{u : \|u - u_0\| \leq R\}$. Let $F'(u) := A := A(u), A_\varepsilon := A + \varepsilon I$, where I is the identity operator.

Math subject classification: 47J05, 47J06, 47J25
key words: dynamical systems method, operator equations, ill-posed problems, nonlinear problems

Assumption A.

$$\|A_\varepsilon^{-1}\| \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{-k}, \qquad \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \qquad k = const > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $c_0 = const > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is an arbitrary small fixed number.

Theorem 1. *If* (1.2) *and* (1.3) *hold, then equation*

$$F(u) + \varepsilon u = 0, \qquad \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \tag{1.4}$$

has a solution.

In Section 2 this result is proved.

In Theorem 2 of Section 3 conditions for the convergence $u := u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ as $\varepsilon \to \infty$ are given, where u_0 solves (1.1).

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Consider the equation

$$\dot{w} = -A_\varepsilon^{-1}(w)[F(w) + \varepsilon w], \qquad w(0) = w_0, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\dot{w} = \frac{dw}{dt}$ is the strong derivative, and $w_0 \in X$ is arbitrary. Let $h \in X^*$ be an arbitrary linear bounded functional on X. Define $g(t) := (F(w) + \varepsilon w, h)$, where (u, h) is the value of the functional h on the element $u \in X$, and $w = w(t)$ is the local solution to (2.1). From the assumptions (1.2) and (1.3) it follows that the right-hand side of (2.1) is locally Lipschitz, so (2.1) has a unique local solution w. We wish to justify the DSM for solving equation (1.4).

The DSM consists of:

a) proving that $w(t)$ exists globally, i.e., $\forall t > 0$,

b) the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} w(t) := w(\infty)$ exists,

and

c) $w(\infty)$ solves (1.4).

To prove a), b) and c), we start with the equation $\dot{g} = (A_\varepsilon(w)\dot{w}, h) = -g$, which implies:

$$g(t) = g(0)e^{-t}, \qquad \|g(t)\| = \|g(0)\|e^{-t}. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus

$$\|F(w) + \varepsilon w\| = \sup_{\|h\|\leq 1} |g(t)| \leq \|F(w_0) + \varepsilon w_0\| e^{-t} := F_0 e^{-t}, \tag{2.3}$$

and (2.1) implies:

$$\|\dot{w}\| \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{-k} F_0 e^{-t}. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.4) it follows that $\|\dot{w}\| \in L^1(0, \infty)$. This and the Cauchy test for the existence of the limit $w(\infty) := \lim_{t\to\infty} w(t)$ imply that $w(\infty)$ exists,

$$\|w(t) - w(\infty)\| \leq F_0 c_0 \varepsilon^{-k} e^{-t}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\|w(t) - w_0\| \leq F_0 c_0 \varepsilon^{-k}. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.4) and (2.1), passing to the limit $t \to \infty$, one gets

$$0 = -A_\varepsilon^{-1}(w(\infty))[F(w(\infty)) + \varepsilon w(\infty)].$$

This implies that $w(\infty)$ solves (1.4). The DSM is justified. Theorem 1 is proved. □

Remark 1. *The solution $w(\infty)$ depends on the choice of w_0. Equation (1.4) may have many solutions under the assumptions of Theorem 1.*

3 Limiting behavior of the solution.

Denote $w(\infty) := v_\varepsilon := v$. We want to give conditions sufficient for the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v_\varepsilon = y, \tag{3.1}$$

where y solves equation (1.1).

First, note that equation (1.4) can be solvable for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, but equation (1.1) may have no solution. For example, let $F(u) = Au - f$, where $A \geq 0$ is a bounded selfadjoint operator in $X = H$, where H is a Hilbert space and $f \notin R(A)$, where $R(A)$ is the range of A. The equation $A_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon - f = 0$ has a unique solution for any $\varepsilon > 0$, but the limiting equation $Ay - f = 0$ has no solution. That is why we assume that equation (1.1) is solvable: $F(y) = 0$. If $F(y) = 0$, then

$$0 = F(v) + \varepsilon v - F(y) = F'(y)(v - y) + R + \varepsilon(v - y) + \varepsilon y.$$

By Taylor's formula one has $F(v) - F(y) = F'(y)(v - y) + R$. Let $z := v - y$. Then

$$A_\varepsilon z + R = -\varepsilon y, \qquad A := F'(y). \tag{3.2}$$

Assume that

$$y = A\psi, \qquad \|\psi\| \ll 1, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\|\psi\| \ll 1$ means that $\|\psi\|$ is sufficiently small (see (3.8) below).

Then (3.2) is equivalent to

$$z = -A_\varepsilon^{-1} R - \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{-1} A\psi := T(z), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$R := F(v) - F(y) - F'(y)z = \int_0^1 ds(1 - s)F''(y + sz)zz. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us check that the map T maps a ball $B(0, z) := B_r := \{u : \|z\| \leq r\}$, $z = v - y$, into itself and is a contraction in B_r for a suitable $r > 0$. Indeed,

$$\|T(z)\| \leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \frac{M_2}{2} r^2 + \varepsilon\|\psi\| \leq r, \tag{3.6}$$

provided that

$$r = \frac{\varepsilon^k}{c_0 M_2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2c_0 M_2 \|\psi\| \varepsilon^{1-k}}\right), \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\rho := 2c_0 M_2 \|\psi\| \varepsilon^{1-k} < 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Condition (3.8) is satisfied if $k < 1$ and ε is sufficiently small, or if $k = 1$ and $\|\psi\|$ is sufficiently small. If $k > 0$ then $r = r(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and T maps $B_{r(\varepsilon)}$ into itself.

Let us check the contraction mapping property. Let $z, p \in B_r$. Then, using (3.5), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(z) - T(p)\| &\leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \|R(z) - R(p)\| \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \int_0^1 ds(1-s) \left[\|F''(y + sz) - F''(y + sp)\| r^2 + 2M_2 r \|z - p\|\right] \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \int_0^1 ds(1-s) \left[sM_3 r^2 + 2M_2 r\right] \|z - p\| \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \left(\frac{M_3}{6} r^2 + M_2 r\right) \|z - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus T is a contraction on B_r if

$$\eta := \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^k} \left(\frac{M_3 r^2}{6} + M_2 r\right) \leq q < 1. \tag{3.10}$$

If (3.7) and (3.8) hold, then

$$\eta = O(\varepsilon^k) + 1 - \rho := q < 1 \tag{3.11}$$

if $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ is sufficiently small.

We have proved:

Theorem 2. *Assume that: 1) equation* (1.1) *is solvable,* $F(y) = 0$*, 2)* (1.2) *holds for* $j \leq 3$*, 3)*(1.3) *holds, 4)* (3.3) *holds and 5)* (3.8) *holds. Then there exists and is unique a solution* v_ε *to equation* (1.4) *such that*

$$\|v_\varepsilon - y\| = O(\varepsilon^k). \tag{3.12}$$

Remark 2. *One may drop assumption* (3.3) *and consider in place of equation* (1.4) *the following one:*

$$F(p) + \varepsilon(p - q) = 0, \qquad p := p_\varepsilon, \tag{3.13}$$

where q *is any element such that*

$$y - q = A\psi, \qquad \|\psi\| \ll 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Then (3.12) *holds with* p_ε *in place of* v_ε *and the proof is essentially the same. Note that* (3.14) *holds, with* $A := F'(y)$ *if* $AB_r \cap (B_a \backslash \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$ *for any* $r \in (0, r_0)$*, where* $r_0 > 0$ *is a fixed number.*

References

[1] Ramm, A. G. , Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations, Communic. in Nonlinear Sci. and Numer. Simulation, 9, N2, (2004), 383-402.